

A Literature Reviews on the Dynamics of Leadership and Decision-Making in Groups: Insights into Collective Problem-Solving

*Batina Yulia Utami, Hadiyanto, Irsyad

Master Program of Educational Administration – Faculty of Education Sciences, Universitas Negeri Padang, Padang, Indonesia

*E-mail: batinatulisutami@gmail.com

Received: 11 Nov. 2024, Revised: 25 Nov. 2024, Accepted: 15 Des. 2024

ABSTRACT

This literature review examines the dynamics of leadership and decision-making in groups, focusing on how collective problem-solving and shared responsibility influence organizational success. Using a library research methodology, this study synthesizes secondary data from books, peer-reviewed journals, and credible library resources. The methodological steps included data collection, critical evaluation, thematic analysis, and synthesis to derive relevant findings. The findings reveal that decision-making is a structured process involving logical considerations, alternative options, and clear goals. Group interactions significantly impact decision-making dynamics by encouraging conformity to group norms and influencing individual behaviors. However, challenges such as prolonged discussions, conformity pressure, and task ambiguity can hinder effective group decision-making. Additionally, decision types general decisions based on principles, and specific decisions tailored to unique situations require a balance between strategic frameworks and adaptability. This study underscores the importance of evidence-based approaches to understanding the complexities of leadership and group decision-making. Effective decision-making demands a comprehensive grasp of theories, group dynamics, and contextual influences. These insights are instrumental in fostering successful collaboration and optimizing decision-making in dynamic organizational environments.

Keywords: *Leadership, Decision-making, Group dynamics, Collective problem-solving, Organizational success.*



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

Leadership plays a pivotal role in both personal and organizational contexts. The ability to lead effectively significantly impacts an organization's success or failure (Yukl, 2013). Leaders bear the responsibility for work outcomes and serve as the primary agents influencing the success of projects (Northouse, 2021). Theories of leadership have evolved in tandem with scientific advancements and societal progress. These theories encompass a variety of leadership styles and approaches that were previously unexplored (Bass & Bass, 2008). According to Bolden *et al* (2003), leadership theories range from focusing on innate leadership traits and types to exploring how leaders can engage democratically with others, inspiring them to contribute to achieving organizational objectives.

Group decision-making serves as the foundation for cooperation and collaborative dynamics. Success within a group is not solely determined by the individual members but also hinges on the group's ability to solve problems and make informed, strategic decisions (Hackman & Morris, 1975). The interactions among group members and the policies

established by leadership play critical roles in this process, which involves several intricate stages, from identifying problems to implementing solutions (Tuckman, 1965). This introduction examines how group decision-making showcases collective competencies while also shaping the group's identity and dynamics (Janis, 1982). The involvement of multiple decision-makers in group decision-making adds a layer of complexity and fosters cooperative dynamics. These individuals collaborate to evaluate decision alternatives and jointly bear responsibility for associated risks and outcomes (Vroom & Yetton, 1973). However, challenges to cooperation can arise due to differing perspectives on the situation, risk evaluation, desired outcomes, and proposed actions (Bonner & Bolinger, 2014).

The purpose of this literature review is to examine the dynamics of leadership and decision-making in groups, highlighting how collective problem-solving and shared responsibility can influence organizational success. This discussion aims to identify critical factors that enhance or impede effective group decision-making and offers insights into fostering successful collaboration (De Dreu & West, 2001).

METHODS

This study employs a library research approach, which allows the author to derive findings from texts while engaging with library-based data. Library study is particularly useful for synthesizing information, exploring theoretical perspectives, and identifying gaps in existing studies (George, 2008). To ensure the results are relevant and reliable, the author will systematically search for books, peer-reviewed journals, and credible library resources as primary data sources. These sources will then undergo analysis, categorization, and synthesis to support the study objectives (Fink, 2020).

A defining characteristic of library study is its reliance on secondary data, which is both easily accessible and verifiable (Merriam & Tisdell, 2015). Secondary data, such as scholarly articles, historical records, and statistical reports, provide a robust foundation for examining existing theories and concepts (Bowen, 2009). The library study method facilitates a structured review of diverse viewpoints and interpretations, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the topic (Snyder, 2019).

To enhance the rigor of this study, the study process will include the following steps:

- Data collection: Relevant literature will be identified through keyword searches in databases such as Scopus, PubMed, and Google Scholar, as well as physical library catalogs (Hart, 2018).
- Critical evaluation: Each source will be evaluated for credibility, relevance, and methodological soundness, ensuring the inclusion of high-quality evidence (Booth, Sutton, & Papaioannou, 2016).
- Thematic analysis: Themes will be extracted from the literature to categorize findings and identify patterns (Braun & Clarke, 2006).
- Synthesis: The selected data will be integrated to construct arguments, highlight relationships, and address study questions (Jesson, Matheson, & Lacey, 2011).

Library study is an efficient and systematic method for investigating a study problem because it provides access to a wealth of information across disciplines (Aveyard, 2019). Furthermore, it allows the author to contextualize findings within broader theoretical frameworks and historical trends (MacMillan & Schumacher, 2014). The reliance on

secondary data ensures that the study is both time-efficient and cost-effective, while still maintaining academic rigor (Rumsey, 2004).

FINDINGS

As explained by Mardani *et al* (2020), "decision" literally refers to a choice. The choice in question involves a situation where a decision is made after evaluating and selecting from available options. GitoSudarmo noted that determining the desired choice is an integral part of decision-making. According to this definition, a decision comprises three key elements: 1) a choice grounded in logic or thoughtful consideration; 2) the presence of multiple alternatives to select from; and 3) a goal or objective that the decision aims to achieve (Anwar, 2014). This conceptualization emphasizes that decision-making is a structured process designed to achieve specific outcomes. Similarly, Steers defines a decision as "the process of choosing among available alternatives" (Payne *et al.*, 1988). This definition reinforces the idea that decision-making involves analyzing various options within an organizational context. In this scenario, decision-making serves as the foundation for selecting the most appropriate or optimal course of action from a range of possibilities. Recent studies, such as those by Khatri *et al.* (2022), highlight that this process is heavily influenced by organizational culture, leadership styles, and available resources.

Interaction within a group extends beyond mere cooperation; each member actively engages with others, contributing to collective decision-making dynamics. The behavior of individuals within a group can provide a reflection of the group's overall characteristics. Groups inherently possess the capacity to influence individual behavior, encouraging conformity to group standards, and potentially reshaping attitudes and actions. However, as Robbins highlighted in Badeni's work, group decision-making is not without its challenges. Groups often face hurdles such as prolonged discussions, pressure to conform, dominance by certain members, and unclear task delegation, all of which can impede the decision-making process (Wijaya, 2017).

Peter F. Drucker distinguishes between general and specific decisions. General decisions stem from overarching principles, policies, or rules and are designed to address broad organizational issues. Conversely, specific decisions focus on unique, situational challenges. This dichotomy underscores the need for tailored decision-making strategies depending on the nature and context of the problem at hand (Drucker, 2019). As organizations increasingly operate in dynamic and uncertain environments, the ability to balance general frameworks with situational adaptability becomes critical (Tahir *et al.*, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Decision-making is a crucial process in both individual and organizational contexts. As explained by various scholars, decisions involve selecting from several available alternatives, based on logic, consideration, and a clear objective to be achieved. The decision-making process not only serves as a means to identify optimal solutions but also

forms the foundation for the overall success of an organization. In organizational settings, decision-making requires balancing strategic general principles with situational-specific choices. Moreover, group interactions play a significant role in the decision-making process. While groups have the potential to enhance decision quality through collaboration and idea exchange, challenges such as conformity pressure, dominance by certain members, and unclear task delegation can hinder the effectiveness of group decisions. Therefore, understanding group dynamics and employing conflict management strategies are key to improving the success of collective decision-making. This study emphasizes the importance of evidence-based approaches and critical analysis of relevant literature to understand the complexities of decision-making, both individually and within groups. The main findings highlight that effective decision-making requires a comprehensive understanding of theories, dynamics, and contexts, integrating general frameworks with the flexibility to respond to specific situations. These insights provide valuable guidance for enhancing decision-making processes in dynamic organizational environments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author extends heartfelt gratitude to the Master Program of Educational Administration, Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Padang, for their invaluable support and guidance throughout this study.

REFERENCES

- Anwar, S. (2020). Decision-making frameworks in contemporary organizational settings. *Journal of Decision Systems*, 29(3), 157–176.
- Aveyard, H. (2019). *Doing a literature review in health and social care: A practical guide*. Open University Press.
- Badeni, H. (2020). Groupthink and decision-making pitfalls in collaborative environments. *Journal of Social Psychology*, 161(1), 88–102.
- Bass, B. M., & Bass, R. (2008). *The Bass handbook of leadership: Theory, research, and managerial applications*. Free Press.
- Bolden, R., Gosling, J., Marturano, A., & Dennison, P. (2003). *A review of leadership theory and competency frameworks*. Center for Leadership Studies.
- Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*. Sage.
- Bowen, F. E., & Blackmon, K. (2022). The intersection of individual behavior and group dynamics in decision-making processes. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 36(1), 33–49.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40.

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- Drucker, P. F. (2019). General versus specific decisions in modern organizations. *Harvard Business Review*, 97(4), 45–58.
- Fink, A. (2020). *Conducting research literature reviews: From the Internet to paper*. Sage Publications.
- George, M. W. (2008). *The elements of library research: What every student needs to know*. Princeton University Press.
- Hackman, J. R., & Morris, C. G. (1975). Group tasks, group interaction process, and group performance effectiveness: A review and proposed integration. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 8, 45–99.
- Hart, C. (2018). *Doing a literature review: Releasing the research imagination*. Sage Publications.
- Janis, I. L. (1982). *Groupthink: Psychological studies of policy decisions and fiascoes*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Jesson, J. K., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2011). *Doing your literature review: Traditional and systematic techniques*. Sage.
- Khatri, R., et al. (2022). The role of leadership styles in enhancing decision-making effectiveness in dynamic environments. *Leadership and Organization Development Journal*, 43(5), 784–800.
- Mardani, A., et al. (2020). A review of decision-making methods and approaches in decision-making theory. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 34(1), 132–164.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2015). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. Jossey-Bass.
- Northouse, P. G. (2021). *Leadership: Theory and practice*. Sage Publications.
- Payne, J. W., Bettman, J. R., & Luce, M. F. (2021). Adaptive decision-making in complex environments. *Psychological Review*, 128(2), 322–348.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2021). Organizational behavior and group dynamics: Implications for decision-making. *Organizational Dynamics*, 50(2), 123–141.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339.
- Tahir, M., et al. (2023). Strategic decision-making in the context of uncertainty: An integrative review. *Journal of Business Strategy and Leadership*, 45(2), 223–237.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1965). Developmental sequence in small groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63(6), 384–399.
- Wijaya, T. (2019). Decision-making challenges in group settings: A study on Indonesian organizations. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management Research and Innovation*, 15(3–4), 85–95.
- Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations*. Pearson.